



Attorney's Docket No.: 042390.P5379 Express Mail No.: EM560651152US

the specification of which

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

XXX is attached h	ereto.			
was filed on		as		
	ited States Application No			
	PCT International Application I was amended on		-	
and	was afficied off	(if applicable)		
the claim(s), as amended by an claimed invention was ever kn patented or described in any property of the patented or described in any property of the patented or described in any property of an inventor's certificate issued of America on an application of a utility patent application) or I acknowledge the duty to discribe 37, Code of Federal Reg I hereby claim foreign priority application(s) for patent or inventories.	ay amendment referred to hown or used in the United publication in any of that the same was not in price application, and that the sed before the date of this filed by me or my legal resix months (for a design close all information known ulations, Section 1.56.  benefits under Title 35, Uentor's certificate listed be	above. I do not know and do not describe States of America before my invocuntry before my invention there in the United application in any country foreign application patent application) prior to this a patent application. In the material to patental application and have also identified belowed and have also identified belowed the second states.	believe that vention ther of or more d States of or made the n to the Un n twelve m pplication. bility as deal )-(d), of an w any forei	t the reof, or than one America e subject ited State onths (fo
priority is claimed:	•			<b>.</b>
Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority	Claimed
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit unde application(s) listed below	er title 35, United States (	Code, Section 119(e) of any Unite	ed States pr	ovisional
(Application Number)	Filing Date	<del></del>		
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 12/11/96 (D3 INTEL) ca	k	-1-		04





I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented,	

I hereby appoint BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, a firm including: Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Yong S. Choi, Reg. No. P43,324; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; Barbara Bokanov Courtney, Reg. No. P42,442; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. P41,402; Richard Leon Gregory, Jr., P42,607; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Sharmini Nathan Green, Reg. No. 41,410; Reg. No. 40,621; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Eric Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg No. P41,845; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Paul A. Mendonsa P42,879; Darren J. Milliken, P42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. P42,034; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Michael A. Proksch P43,021; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. P42,096; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. P43,351; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; Geoffrey T. Staniford, P43,151; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. P40,992; and Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; my patent agents, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Joseph R. Bond, Reg. No. 36,458; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Sean Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 32,027; James E. Jacobson, Jr., Reg. No. 31,626; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; Naomi Obinata, Reg. No. 39,320; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; and Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; my patent attorneys, of INTEL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
(Name of Attorney or Agent)	
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Ange	eles, California 90025 and direct telephone
calls to Thomas M. Coester, (3	10)207-3800.
(Name of Attorney or Agent)	

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.





Full Name of Sole/First Inyentor _Ierrold V. Hauck	
Inventor's Signature full William	Date
Residence Fremont CA	_ Citizenship _USA
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address 48317 Avalon Heights Terrace Fremont CA 94539	
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor <u>David W. LaFollette</u>	2
Inventor's Signature David W. La Follot	# Date 4/3/98
Residence Sunnyvale, CA	Citizenship <u>USA</u>
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address 1063 Morse Ave., Apt. #3-203 Sunnyvale, CA 94089	





## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.